# Streaming Codes for Three-Node Relay Networks With Burst Erasures

#### Vinayak Ramkumar <sup>\*</sup> Myna Vajha <sup>‡</sup> M. Nikhil Krishnan <sup>†</sup>

\*Tel Aviv University, <sup>‡</sup>IIT Hyderabad, <sup>†</sup>IIT Palakkad

IEEE ISIT 2024, July 10

## Motivation: Latency Sensitive Applications

• Several latency sensitive applications (real-time audio/video, AR/VR etc) that have end-to-end (E2E) latency requirements under packet erasures



 $\Delta$ : E2E delay, au = 4: Delay in packet count

- E2E delay modeled through count of packets accessed in future.
- Goal: Design packet level FECs that can recover erasures within delay  $\tau$ .

# Literature: Settings Considered

Point to Point (PP), Burst Erasures

- Martinian and Sundberg, TIT 2004
  - $(b, \tau)$ -streaming codes can recover from any burst of size b within delay au
  - Correctable erasure pattern:



Rate upper bounded by:

$${{\it R}} \leq rac{ au}{ au+b} riangleq {\it R}_{ ext{opt}}^{( ext{PP})}(b, au)$$

- $(n = \tau, k = \tau b)$  wrap-around burst correcting code (WA-BCC)  $\implies$  rate-optimal  $(b, \tau)$  streaming code
- Hollmann and Tolhuizen, TIT 2008
  - ▶ binary WA-BCC construction implying rate-optimal binary (b, τ) streaming code

#### Literature: Settings Considered

- Extensions to either burst b or random erasures a under delay constraint  $\tau$ .
- Extensions to simultaneous bursts and random erasures. Bhatnagar et al. ISIT 24
- Current work: 3-node relay, burst erasures only, delay constraint au
  - Rate upper bound
  - Constructions that achieve rate arbitrarily close to upper bound for any  $b, \tau$



- 3 node relay with random erasures: Fong et al. TIT 2020,, Facenda et al. TIT 23, Kaleem et al. ISIT 24
  - Extensions to multiple-node relay network: Domanovitz et al. TIT 2022
- 3 node relay with random and burst erasures: S. Singhvi et al. ISIT 2022.
  - Results in optimal codes for our setting for the special case  $b|\tau$ .

### Redundancy through Packet Expansion Framework



• Can use scalar block codes to come up with streaming codes.

# Diagonal Embedding (DE)

• Codewords of [n, k] scalar block code are diagonally placed in the packet stream.



DE of [10, 6] scalar code where  $b = 4, \tau = 6$ 

#### Delay Profile Of a Block Code

• Need  $(n = \tau + b, \tau)$  streaming code with delay profile

$$(\underbrace{ au,\cdots, au}_{b ext{ of them}}, au-1,\cdots,b)$$

• Hollmann and Tolhuizen (HT) code has parity check matrix:

$$H_{ extsf{HT}}$$
  $=$   $[P_{b, au} \ I_b]$  and

Recursive construction of (u × v) matrix P<sub>u,v</sub> that appends identity matrices column-wise or row-wise.

$$P_{u,v} = \begin{cases} [I_u \ P_{u,v-u}] & v > u \\ \begin{bmatrix} I_v \\ P_{u-v,v} \end{bmatrix} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- E. Martinian and M. Trott, "Delay-Optimal Burst Erasure Code Construction," ISIT 2007
- H. D. L. Hollmann and L. M. G. M. Tolhuizen, "Optimal Codes for Correcting a Single (Wrap-Around) Burst of Erasures," in TIT 2008

# 3 Node Relay, Burst Erasures

#### Rate Bound



• An example, permissible erasure pattern in the R-D link

$x^{r}(t)$	$x^r(t+\tau-b)$	$x^r(t+\tau-b+1)$		$x^r(t+\tau)$
------------	-----------------	-------------------	--	---------------

- m(t) should be recovered at relay by  $t + \tau b$
- Rate in the S-R link upper bounded by rate of  $(b, \tau b)$  streaming code

$$R \leq R_{ ext{opt}}^{( ext{PP})}(b, au-b) = rac{ au-b}{ au} riangleq R_{ ext{opt}}^{ ext{rel}}(b, au)$$

 We construct streaming codes that achieve rates arbitrarily close to the upper bound.

#### Source-Relay Link

- Transmit at Source
  - $(n = \tau, k = \tau b)$  HT code is used at the source.
  - Example:  $\tau = 10, b = 4$ ,  $(4 \times 10)$  pc matrix

$$H_{HT} = \begin{bmatrix} P_{4,6} \mid I_4 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_4 \mid I_2 \mid I_4 \end{bmatrix}$$

\* 
$$p_0 = m_0 + m_4, p_1 = m_1 + m_5, p_2 = m_2 + m_4, p_3 = m_3 + m_5$$
  
\*  $m_i$  can be recovered by  $p_i$  for  $i = 0, \dots, 3$ .

t	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
$x^{s}(t)$	$m_0$	$m_1$	$m_2$	$m_3$	$m_4$	$m_5$	$p_0$	$p_1$	$p_2$	$p_3$				

• Receive at Relay: Burst starting at time 0/1 in S-R link



#### Relay-Destination Link



Information set needs to be preserved.

- Can resolve  $m_4$  from  $p_0 = m_0 + m_4$ , and  $m_0$
- $\alpha = \min\{\beta, b-1\}$  where  $\beta$  is burst start and set  $(\hat{m}_0, \dots, \hat{m}_{k-1})$  as

$$\underbrace{(\underbrace{m_0, \cdots, m_{\alpha-1}}_{\alpha \text{ urgent symbols}}, \underbrace{x^s(\alpha), \cdots, x^s(k-b+\alpha-1)}_{(k-\alpha) \text{ non-urgent symbols}}, \underbrace{m_{\alpha}, \cdots, m_{b-1}}_{(b-\alpha) \text{ urgent symbols}})$$

HT code guarantees the information set property

#### Relay-Destination Link



• Urgent messages:

- For  $i \in [0 : \alpha 1]$   $\hat{m}_i$  requires delay  $\leq \tau b$  (until  $\hat{p}_i$  available)
- For  $i \in [0: b \alpha 1]$   $\hat{m}_{k-1-i}$  requires delay  $\leq b$  (until  $\hat{p}_{b-1-i}$  is available)
- Delay profile of the form:

$$\underbrace{(\tau-b,\cdots,\tau-b}_{\alpha \text{ urgent symbols}},\underbrace{\tau-\alpha-1,\cdots,2b-\alpha}_{(\tau-2b) \text{ non-urgent symbols}},\underbrace{b,\cdots,b}_{(b-\alpha) \text{ urgent symbols}})$$

- We construct generalized HT (GHT) code to handle this delay profile
- GHT code used at relay is dependent on  $\alpha \implies$  overhead in communicating the  $\alpha$  at destination.
  - Overhead can be made negligible by ammortization.

#### Relay-Destination Link



Burst at 1 in S-R link

Urgent messages:

- ▶ For  $i \in [0 : \alpha 1]$   $\hat{m}_i$  requires delay  $\leq \tau b$  (until  $\hat{p}_i$  available)
- For  $i \in [0: b \alpha 1]$   $\hat{m}_{k-1-i}$  requires delay  $\leq b$  (until  $\hat{p}_{b-1-i}$  is available)
- Delay profile of the form:

$$\underbrace{(\underline{\tau-b,\cdots,\tau-b}}_{\alpha \text{ urgent symbols}}, \underbrace{\tau-\alpha-1,\cdots,2b-\alpha}_{(\tau-2b) \text{ non-urgent symbols}}, \underbrace{b,\cdots,b}_{(b-\alpha) \text{ urgent symbols}})$$

- We construct generalized HT (GHT) code to handle this delay profile
- GHT code used at relay is dependent on  $\alpha \implies$  overhead in communicating the  $\alpha$  at destination.
  - Overhead can be made negligible by ammortization.



• Retain row 0, ensures in time recovery of  $\hat{m}_0$ 



Get 1 in the diagonal of bottom-right (b − α) × (b − α) sub-matrix through row permutations



• Set the upper triangular elements to 0



- $\hat{m}_3, \hat{m}_5$  can be recovered in delay
- *m*<sub>1</sub> can be recovered from any burst



•  $\hat{m}_4$  recovery: part of parities  $\hat{p}_0, \hat{p}_2$ 



•  $\hat{m}_4$  recovery: if  $\hat{m}_2$  is available can recover it using  $\hat{p}_2$  otherwise from  $\hat{p}_0$ .

- Triangle property:  $\hat{p}_0$  and  $\hat{m}_2$  are spaced by b.
- *m̂*<sub>2</sub> recovery follows.
- Can recover *m<sub>i</sub>* within delay.

#### Key Ideas in General Proof



## **Open Questions**

• Construction of codes for any ordering of the urgent symbols  $(m_0, \cdots, m_{b-1})$  that are "allowed".

 $(m_0, *, *, m_1, m_2, m_3, p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3)$   $\checkmark$  $(m_1, *, *, m_0, m_2, m_3, p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3)$   $\checkmark$ 

- leads to streaming codes for *m*-node relay settings
- 3-node relay settings with different size bursts  $b_1, b_2$ .
- Characterize the delay-profiles for which constructions are possible

## Thank You!