Sphere Packings and List Decoding in Euclidean Space

Shashank Vatedka

Dept of EE, IIT Hyderabad

Joint work with Yihan Zhang (IST Austria)

August 21, 2024

1

What is the maximum number of *n*-dim spheres we can pack in a given volume?

Infinite sphere packings

- Sphere packing: Collection of infinitely many non-overlapping spheres of radius *r* in R *n*
- \cdot Density: Fraction of volume in \mathbb{R}^n that is occupied by the spheres

Problem: Find a sphere packing with the largest density

• Open problem! Solutions only known for:

What about large *n*?

Open problem!

Open problem!

Best known bounds [Campos et al 2023, Kabatiyanskii-Levenshtein 1978]:

$$
\frac{1}{2^n} \leq \frac{n \ln n}{2^{n+1}} (1 - o(1)) \leq \Delta_{opt}(n) \leq \frac{1}{2^{0.599n(1 + o(1))}}
$$

Nice survey: Cohn, "A conceptual breakthrough in sphere packings," 2017

Sphere packings within bounded regions

How many nonoverlapping *n*-dimensional balls of radius \sqrt{nN} can we pack in a larger ball of radius \sqrt{nP} ?

Sphere packings within bounded regions

How many nonoverlapping *n*-dimensional balls of radius \sqrt{nN} can we pack in a larger ball of radius \sqrt{nP} ?

Open problem! [Blachman 1962] [Kabatiansky and Levenshtein 1978]

An equivalent formulation

How many points can we place within $B_n($? *nP*) such that the minimum pairwise distance between points is at least $2\sqrt{n}$?

Connection to channel coding: Jamming adversary

Connection to channel coding: Jamming adversary

Want a code with minimum pairwise distance 2 \sqrt{nN}

Relaxation: Multiple packing

How many $\mathcal{B}(\cdot,$? *nN*q balls can we pack in $\mathcal{B}(0,$? *nP*q such that no more than *L* balls intersect at any given point?

Relaxation: Multiple packing

How many $\mathcal{B}(\cdot,$? *nN*q balls can we pack in $\mathcal{B}(0,$? *nP*q such that no more than *L* balls intersect at any given point?

Relaxation: Multiple packing

How many $\mathcal{B}(\cdot,$? *nN*q balls can we pack in $\mathcal{B}(0,$? *nP*q such that no more than *L* balls intersect at any given point?

We can answer this! (partially)

(Adversarial) List decoding

(Adversarial) List decoding

(Adversarial) List decoding

Output $M_l = \{M_1, \ldots, M_l\}$ with the guarantee that $M \in \mathcal{M}_l$

• Interesting generalization of unique decoding [Elias 1957, Wozencraft 1958] and sphere packing

- Interesting generalization of unique decoding [Elias 1957, Wozencraft 1958] and sphere packing
- With short pre-shared secret key between Encoder-Decoder, possible to decode message uniquely! [Langberg 2004, Sarwate 2008, Bhattacharya *et al.* 2019]

- Interesting generalization of unique decoding [Elias 1957, Wozencraft 1958] and sphere packing
- With short pre-shared secret key between Encoder-Decoder, possible to decode message uniquely! [Langberg 2004, Sarwate 2008, Bhattacharya *et al.* 2019]
- Useful proof technique to prove achievability results for more complicated channels with jammers [Zhang *et al.* 2018, Zhang *et al.* 2020]

- Interesting generalization of unique decoding [Elias 1957, Wozencraft 1958] and sphere packing
- With short pre-shared secret key between Encoder-Decoder, possible to decode message uniquely! [Langberg 2004, Sarwate 2008, Bhattacharya *et al.* 2019]
- Useful proof technique to prove achievability results for more complicated channels with jammers [Zhang *et al.* 2018, Zhang *et al.* 2020]
- Large body of work on list decoding over finite fields, and many connections to complexity theory, cryptography, combinatorics, quantum, etc.

Formal definition

Given $P, N > 0$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, a (P, N, L) list decodable code C is a collection of points in R *n* satisfying

• Power constraint: $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{B}_n(0,$? *nP*) for all $\underline{x} \in C$

Formal definition

Given $P, N > 0$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, a (P, N, L) list decodable code C is a collection of points in R *n* satisfying

- Power constraint: $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{B}_n(0,$? *nP*) for all $\underline{x} \in C$
- List decodability: $|\mathcal{B}_n(\underline{y},$? $|nN\rangle \cap C$ \leqslant *L*, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Formal definition

Given $P, N > 0$ and $L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 2}$, a (P, N, L) list decodable code C is a collection of points in R *n* satisfying

- Power constraint: $\underline{x} \in \mathcal{B}_n(0,$? *nP*) for all $\underline{x} \in C$
- List decodability: $|\mathcal{B}_n(\underline{y},$? $|nN\rangle \cap C$ \leqslant *L*, for all $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Rate of *C*:

$$
R(C) := \frac{1}{n} \ln |C|
$$

Goal: Characterize the list decoding capacity $C_L(P, N)$: The limsup of achievable rates of (P, N, L) -list decodable codes.

Goal: Characterize the list decoding capacity $C_l(P, N)$: The limsup of achievable rates of (P, N, L) -list decodable codes.

Theorem (List decoding capacity - folklore) $C(P, N) \stackrel{def}{=}$ 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ ln $\frac{P}{N}$

• *There exist codes with rate* $C(P, N) - \epsilon$ *that are* (P, N, L) *list decodable with* $L = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ log $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$).

Goal: Characterize the list decoding capacity $C_l(P, N)$: The limsup of achievable rates of (P, N, L) -list decodable codes.

Theorem (List decoding capacity - folklore) $C(P, N) \stackrel{def}{=}$ 1 $\frac{1}{2}$ ln $\frac{P}{N}$

- \cdot *There exist codes with rate* $C(P, N) \epsilon$ *that are* (P, N, L) *list decodable with* $L = \mathcal{O}(\frac{1}{\epsilon})$ $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$ log $\frac{1}{\epsilon}$).
- \cdot *Any sequence of* (P, N, L) list decodable codes with asymptotic rate greater than *C*(*P*, *N*) must necessarily have L = $e^{\Theta(n)}$.

Existence of codes

Take
$$
\sqrt{N_{\delta}} = \sqrt{N} + \sqrt{\delta}
$$
,
 $R = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{P}{N_{\delta}}$

Random coding: Pick e^{nR} codewords $\underline{c}(1), \ldots, \underline{c}(2^{nR})$ i.i.d. uniform over $\mathcal{B}_n(\sqrt{2^n} - 1)$ *nP*q.

 $\frac{1}{N_\delta}-\epsilon$

Existence of codes

Take
$$
\sqrt{N_{\delta}} = \sqrt{N} + \sqrt{\delta}
$$
, $R =$

Random coding: Pick e^{nR} codewords $\underline{c}(1), \ldots, \underline{c}(2^{nR})$ i.i.d. uniform over $\mathcal{B}_n(\sqrt{2^n} - 1)$ *nP*q. For any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i \in [2^{nR}],$

 $\frac{1}{N_\delta}-\epsilon$

1 $rac{1}{2}$ ln $rac{P}{N_e}$

$$
\Pr[\underline{\mathsf{C}}(i) \in \mathcal{B}_n(\underline{\mathsf{y}}, \sqrt{nN_\delta})] \leq \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n(\sqrt{nN_\delta}))}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n(\sqrt{nP}))} = \left(\frac{N_\delta}{P}\right)^{n/2}
$$

Existence of codes

Take
$$
\sqrt{N_{\delta}} = \sqrt{N} + \sqrt{\delta}
$$
,
 $R = \frac{1}{2}$

Random coding: Pick e^{nR} codewords $\underline{c}(1), \ldots, \underline{c}(2^{nR})$ i.i.d. uniform over $\mathcal{B}_n(\sqrt{2^n} - 1)$ *nP*). For any $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i \in [2^{nR}],$

 $rac{1}{2}$ ln $rac{P}{N_e}$

 $\frac{1}{N_\delta}-\epsilon$

$$
\Pr[\underline{\mathsf{c}}(i) \in \mathcal{B}_n(\underline{y}, \sqrt{n N_\delta})] \leq \frac{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n(\sqrt{n N_\delta}))}{\mathrm{vol}(\mathcal{B}_n(\sqrt{nP}))} = \left(\frac{N_\delta}{P}\right)^{n/2}
$$

For any $\underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_{L+1}$,

$$
\Pr[\underline{\mathsf{c}}(i_k) \in \mathcal{B}_n(\underline{y}, \sqrt{nN_\delta}) \text{ for } k = 1, 2, \dots, L+1] \leqslant \left(\frac{N_\delta}{P}\right)^{n(L+1)/2}
$$

Existence of codes (contd)

For any $\underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

 $\Pr[\text{There are more than L codewords in } \mathcal{B}_n(\underline{y},\sqrt{nN_\delta})]$

$$
\leq \left(\frac{e^{nR}}{L+1}\right) \left(\frac{N_{\delta}}{P}\right)^{n(L+1)/2}
$$

$$
\leq \exp\left(n(L+1)\left(R-\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{P}{N_{\delta}}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\leq \exp\left(-n(L+1)\epsilon\right)
$$

Existence of codes (contd)

For any $\underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

 $\Pr[\text{There are more than L codewords in } \mathcal{B}_n(\underline{y},\sqrt{nN_\delta})]$

$$
\leqslant \binom{e^{nR}}{L+1} \left(\frac{N_{\delta}}{P}\right)^{n(L+1)/2}
$$
\n
$$
\leqslant \exp\left(n(L+1)\left(R-\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{P}{N_{\delta}}\right)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\leqslant \exp\left(-n(L+1)\epsilon\right)
$$

Final step: Take a δ -covering of \mathcal{B}_n (? $nP +$? $n\delta$) and use union bound

 $\Pr[\text{There are more than L codewords in \$\mathcal{B}_n(\underline{y},$? *nN*) for any *y*]

Existence of codes (contd)

For any $\underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

 $\Pr[\text{There are more than L codewords in } \mathcal{B}_n(\underline{y},\sqrt{nN_\delta})]$

$$
\leqslant \binom{e^{nR}}{L+1} \left(\frac{N_{\delta}}{P}\right)^{n(L+1)/2}
$$
\n
$$
\leqslant \exp\left(n(L+1)\left(R-\frac{1}{2}\ln\frac{P}{N_{\delta}}\right)\right)
$$
\n
$$
\leqslant \exp\left(-n(L+1)\epsilon\right)
$$

Final step: Take a δ -covering of \mathcal{B}_n (? $nP +$? $n\delta$) and use union bound

 $\Pr[\text{There are more than L codewords in \$\mathcal{B}_n(\underline{y},\varnothing)]$$? *nN*) for any *y*]

$$
\leqslant \exp\left(n\ln\left(\frac{\sqrt{p}+\sqrt{\delta}}{\sqrt{\delta}}\right)(1+o(1))-n(L+1)\epsilon\right)\leqslant_L \exp(\Theta(n))
$$

Comments

- \cdot *R* > *C*(*P*, *N*): Show the existence of a "witness" *y* by picking one at random [Zhang *et al.* 2018]
- Structured codes: There exist nested lattice codes with rate $R = C(P, N) \epsilon$ that are (P, N, L) list decodable with $L = 2^{O(\frac{1}{\epsilon} \log^2 \frac{1}{\epsilon})}$ [Zhang and Vatedka 2019]
- Unbounded *L*-packings: There exist unbounded *L*-packings with density $(1 + \delta)^{-n}$ for $L = O(\frac{1}{\delta})$ $\frac{1}{\delta}$ log $\frac{1}{\delta}$)
- Unbounded lattice packings: There exist lattices with density $(1 + \delta)^{-n}$ that are *L*-packings for $L = 2^{O(\frac{1}{\delta} \log^2 \frac{1}{\delta})}$ [Zhang and Vatedka 2019]
- Open questions: Order-optimal dependence on *ϵ, δ*

What about $C_L(P, N)$ for fixed *L*?

• Using random (expurgated) Gaussian codebooks [Zhang and Vatedka 2022],

$$
C_L(P,N) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln \frac{LP}{(L+1)N} + \frac{(L+1)N}{LP} + 1 \right]
$$

• Using random (expurgated) spherical codebooks [Zhang and Vatedka 2022],

$$
C_L(P,N) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \frac{(L+1)N}{LP} + \frac{1}{L} \ln \frac{P}{L(P-N)} \right]
$$

• For $N \leq PL/(L + 1)$ [Blinovsky 1999, Zhang and Vatedka 2022],

$$
C_L(P, N) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{LP}{(L+1)N}
$$

Tighter lower bound

[Blinovsky 1999, Zhang and Vatedka 2021] 1

$$
C_L(P,N) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{LP}{(L+1)N} \right) + \frac{1}{L} \ln \left(\frac{P}{(L+1)(P-N)} \right) \right]
$$

¹Blinovsky, "Multiple packing of the Euclidean sphere," *IEEE Trans Inf Theory,* 1999

Tighter lower bound

[Blinovsky 1999, Zhang and Vatedka 2021] 1

$$
C_L(P,N) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln \left(\frac{LP}{(L+1)N} \right) + \frac{1}{L} \ln \left(\frac{P}{(L+1)(P-N)} \right) \right]
$$

- Also holds under a stronger notion of average-radius list decoding
- As $L \to \infty$, the r.h.s. $\to \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{P}{N}$
- \cdot $L = 1$: recovers best known lower bound for sphere packing [Blachman 1962]

¹Blinovsky, "Multiple packing of the Euclidean sphere," *IEEE Trans Inf Theory,* 1999

18

Key tool: identifying a connection between adversarial list decoding and list decoding over Gaussian channels.

Key tool: identifying a connection between adversarial list decoding and list decoding over Gaussian channels.

> Good codes for (error exponents of) AWGN channels yield good (adversarial) list decodable codes! (with expurgation)

Careful analysis of higher-order Voronoi regions

Useful connection

Chebyshev radius: For any $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the Chebyshev radius $\text{rad}(\mathcal{L})$ is the radius of the smallest closed ball containing *L*.

Useful connection

Chebyshev radius: For any $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the Chebyshev radius $\text{rad}(\mathcal{L})$ is the radius of the smallest closed ball containing *L*.

A code C is $(P, N, L - 1)$ list decodable iff it satisfies a power constraint of *P*, and every $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{C}$ of size *L* has Chebyshev radius at least \sqrt{nN} .

Step 1: Key lemma

We show: for any code *C* of size *M*, there exists a subcode *C* ¹ of size *M*{2 for which the following holds:

For every $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{C}'$ with $|\mathcal{L}| = L$, we have

$$
P_{\mathrm{e},\mathrm{avg},l-1}^{\mathrm{ML}}(\mathcal{C}) \geqslant \exp\left(-\frac{\mathrm{rad}(\mathcal{L})}{2\sigma^2} - o(n)\right)
$$

Step 1: Key lemma

We show: for any code *C* of size *M*, there exists a subcode *C* ¹ of size *M*{2 for which the following holds:

For every $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{C}'$ with $|\mathcal{L}| = L$, we have

$$
P_{\text{e,avg},l-1}^{ML}(\mathcal{C}) \geqslant \exp\left(-\frac{\text{rad}(\mathcal{L})}{2\sigma^2} - o(n)\right)
$$

or,

$$
\mathrm{rad}(\mathcal{L}) \quad \geqslant \quad 2\sigma^2 \times \ln\left(\frac{1}{P_{\mathrm{e,avg},l-1}^{ML}(\mathcal{C})}\right) + o(n)
$$

Connects Chebyshev radius of *L*-subsets of codes with error exponents for list decoding over Gaussian channels.

Step 2: Bounds on list decoding error exponent for Gaussian channels

Achievable expurgated error exponent: There exist sequences of codes for which

$$
\frac{1}{n} \ln \frac{1}{P_{\text{e,avg},l-1}^{ML}(\mathcal{C})} = E_{\text{ex},l-1}(R) - o(1) = -\min_{s \ge 0, \rho \ge 1} F(s,\rho) - o(1)
$$

where

$$
F(s,\rho) := R(L-1)\rho - \rho \bigg[sLP + \frac{1}{2} \ln(1-2sP) + \frac{L-1}{2} \ln \left(1-2sP + \frac{P}{\sigma^2 L \rho} \right) \bigg].
$$

Error exponents

- Proof: standard techniques [Gallager 1968]
- List decoding error exponents for Gaussian channels: [Gallager 1968, Merhav 2014] 2
- This work: more explicit expressions for the error exponent

²N. Merhav, "List decoding – random coding exponents and expurgated exponents," *IEEE Trans Inf Theory, 2014*

Achievable rate for adversarial list decoding

Set partial derivatives of $F(s, \rho)$ to 0. We get $\rho = \frac{L-1-2LPs}{2L^2s(1-2Ps)}$ $\frac{L-1-2LPS}{2L^2s(1-2Ps)\sigma^2}$, and

$$
R = \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln \frac{(L-1)(1-2Ps)}{L(1-2Ps)-1} + \frac{1}{L-1} \ln(1-2Ps) \right].
$$

Use this *ρ*, then

$$
F(s,\rho) = -\frac{P(L(1-2Ps)-1)}{2L\sigma^2(1-2Ps)}
$$

and

$$
N \geqslant \frac{P(L(1-2Ps)-1)}{L(1-2Ps)}.
$$

Choose the *s* that maximizes the r.h.s. above

$$
R = \frac{1}{2} \left[\ln \frac{(L-1)P}{LN} + \frac{1}{L-1} \ln \frac{P}{L(P-N)} \right].
$$

Higher-order Voronoi regions

For any *x*¹ *, x*² *, . . . , x^L* , define

$$
\mathcal{V}(\underline{x}_1,\ldots,\underline{x}_{L-1})=\left\{\underline{y}\in\mathbb{R}^n:\quad \Vert\underline{y}-\underline{x}_L\Vert>\Vert\underline{y}-\underline{x}_i\Vert,\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant L-1\right\}
$$

Higher-order Voronoi regions

For any *x*¹ *, x*² *, . . . , x^L* , define

$$
\mathcal{V}(\underline{x}_1,\ldots,\underline{x}_{L-1})=\left\{\underline{y}\in\mathbb{R}^n:\quad \Vert\underline{y}-\underline{x}_L\Vert>\Vert\underline{y}-\underline{x}_i\Vert,\ 1\leqslant i\leqslant L-1\right\}
$$

- When *x^L* is transmitted across AWGNC, ML decoder makes error if received vector lies in $\mathcal{V}(\underline{x}_1, \ldots, \underline{x}_{L-1})$
- Bound this probability from above
- But higher-order Voronoi regions are complicated!
- Idea: lower bound error probability using a simpler region

Lower bound error probability using simpler region

As long as minimum distance between *na cong as minimum accurace acc*
codewords is Ω(\sqrt{n}), higher order Voronoi region always contains a cone of certain radius.

Lower bound error probability using simpler region

As long as minimum distance between *na cong as minimum accurace acc*
codewords is Ω(\sqrt{n}), higher order Voronoi region always contains a cone of certain radius.

Use this to show

$$
P_{\mathrm{e,avg},L-1}^{\text{ML}}(\mathcal{L}) \geqslant \text{exp}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{rad}(\mathcal{L})}{2\sigma^2} - o(1)\right)
$$

Infinite Constellations: Same setup, but no power constraint at the transmitter

"Rate": Normalized Logarithmic Density

$$
R = \frac{1}{n} \lim \sup_{a \to \infty} \ln \left(\frac{|\mathcal{C} \cap [-a/2, a/2]^n|}{a^n} \right)
$$

Infinite Constellations: Same setup, but no power constraint at the transmitter

"Rate": Normalized Logarithmic Density

$$
R = \frac{1}{n} \lim \sup_{a \to \infty} \ln \left(\frac{|\mathcal{C} \cap [-a/2, a/2]^n|}{a^n} \right)
$$

List decoding capacity: For $L = \omega(1)$,

$$
C(N) = \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2\pi eN}
$$

Bounds for unbounded *L*-packings

Similar ideas yield

$$
C_L(N) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{L}{2\pi e N(L+1)} \right) - \frac{\ln(L+1)}{2L}
$$

Similar ideas yield

$$
C_L(N) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{L}{2\pi e N(L+1)} \right) - \frac{\ln(L+1)}{2L}
$$

The r.h.s. $\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2\pi eN}$ as $L \rightarrow \infty$.

Similar ideas yield

$$
C_L(N) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{L}{2\pi e N(L+1)} \right) - \frac{\ln (L+1)}{2L}
$$

The r.h.s.
$$
\rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{1}{2\pi eN}
$$
 as $L \rightarrow \infty$.

We can also show that

$$
C_L(N) \leqslant \frac{1}{2} \ln \left(\frac{L}{2\pi e N(L+1)} \right)
$$

- Tighter upper/lower bounds on $C_I(P,N)$ and $C_I(N)$
- Improved bounds on list size for lattices and nested lattice codes
- Explicit codes/lattices that achieve list decoding capacity
- Connections of Euclidean list decoding to other problems

More details

- Yihan Zhang and Shashank Vatedka, "Multiple packing: Lower and upper bounds," Arxiv, 2022
- Yihan Zhang and Shashank Vatedka, "Multiple packing: Lower bounds via infinite constellations," IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, July 2023
- Yihan Zhang and Shashank Vatedka, "Multiple packing: Lower bounds using error exponents," IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Feb 2024
- Yihan Zhang and Shashank Vatedka, "List decoding random Euclidean codes and infinite constellations," IEEE Transactions on Information Theory, Dec 2022

References

- [1] N. Blachman, "On the capacity of bandlimited channel perturbed by statistically dependent interference," *IRE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 8, pp. 48–55, 1962.
- [2] G. A. Kabatiansky and V. I. Levenshtein, "On bounds for packings on a sphere and in space," *Problemy Peredachi Informatsii*, vol. 14, no. 1, pp. 3–25, 1978.
- [3] P. Elias, *List decoding for noisy channels*. Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Research Laboratory of Electronics, Cambridge, Mass., 1957, p. 12, Rep. No. 335.
- [4] J. M. Wozencraft, "List decoding," *Quarterly Progress Report*, vol. 48, pp. 90–95, 1958.
- [5] M. Langberg, "Private codes or succinct random codes that are (almost) perfect," in *Proc. IEEE Symp. Found. Comp. Sci.*, Rome, Italy, 2004.
- [6] A. Sarwate, "Robust and adaptive communication under uncertain **interference."** Ph.D. dissertation, University of California, Berkeley, 2008.
- [7] S. Bhattacharya, A. J. Budkuley, and S. Jaggi, "Shared randomness in arbitrarily varying channels," in *2019 IEEE International Symposium on Information Theory (ISIT)*, IEEE, 2019, pp. 627–631.
- [8] Y. Zhang, S. Vatedka, S. Jaggi, and A. Sarwate, "Quadratically constrained myopic adversarial channels," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1801.05951*, 2018.
- [9] Y. Zhang, S. Vatedka, and S. Jaggi, "Quadratically constrained two-way adversarial channels," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2001.02575*, 2020.
- [10] Y. Zhang and S. Vatedka, "List decoding random euclidean codes and infinite constellations," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1901.03790*, 2019.
- [11] V Blinovsky, "Multiple packing of the euclidean sphere," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 45, no. 4, pp. 1334–1337, 1999.
- [12] Y. Zhang and S. Vatedka, "Bounds for multiple packing and list-decoding error exponents," *arXiv preprint arXiv:2107.05161*, 2021.
- [13] R. G. Gallager, *Information Theory and Reliable Communication*. MIT Press, 1968.
- [14] N. Merhay, "List decoding—random coding exponents and expurgated exponents," *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, vol. 60, no. 11, pp. 6749–6759, 2014.