Two Way Generalization of a Binary Burst Erasure Correcting Code

Myna Vajha

EE Deparment, IIT Hyderabad

Hyderabad EECS Theory Seminar, August 21, 2024

Joint Work With Vinayak Ramkumar (Postdoc, Tel Aviv), Nikhil Krishnan (Asst. Professor, IIT Palakkad), P. Vijay Kumar (Hon. Professor, IISc)

Motivation: Latency Sensitive Applications

 \bullet Several latency sensitive applications (real-time audio/video, AR/VR etc) that have end-to-end (E2E) latency requirements under packet erasures

 Δ : E2E delay, $\tau = 4$: Delay in packet count

- E2E delay modeled through count of packets accessed in future.
- **•** Goal: Design packet level FECs that can recover erasures within delay τ .

Delay Profile Of a Block Code

- An (n, k) linear block code can guarantee a worst case delay of $(n 1)$.
	- \triangleright systematic case, the message symbols have delay profile $(n-1, n-2, n-3, \cdots, n-k).$
- **•** For a worst-case delay guarantee of τ , in presence of burst erasures of size b, any burst correcting code with parameters $n = \tau + 1$, $k = n - b$ will work.

Can we do better (rate)?

- \bullet Yes. Can construct $(n = \tau + b, k = n b)$ linear block code with delay profile
	-
	- \triangleright *i*-th message symbol with delay requirement $\lt n i$ will be refered to as

Delay Profile Of a Block Code

- An (n, k) linear block code can guarantee a worst case delay of $(n 1)$.
	- \triangleright systematic case, the message symbols have delay profile $(n-1, n-2, n-3, \cdots, n-k).$
- **•** For a worst-case delay guarantee of τ , in presence of burst erasures of size b, any burst correcting code with parameters $n = \tau + 1$, $k = n - b$ will work.

Can we do better (rate)?

- Yes. Can construct $(n = \tau + b, k = n b)$ linear block code with delay profile $(\tau, \cdots, \tau, \tau, \tau-1, \cdots, b)$. (details to follow) $(b-1)$
	- \triangleright *i*-th message symbol with delay requirement $\lt n i$ will be refered to as urgent symbol. Otherwise it is non-urgent symbol.

E. Martinian and M. Trott, "Delay-Optimal Burst Erasure Code Construction," ISIT 2007

Erasure Model: Delay Constrained Sliding Window (DC-SW) Channel

(i) Admissible erasure patterns (AEP): within a sliding window of size W : either $\leq a$ random erasures, or a burst of $\leq b$ erasures

(ii) Decoding-Delay Parameter: τ

Badr et al., "Layered Constructions for Low-Delay Streaming Codes," Trans. IT, 2017.

Streaming Codes and Optimal Rate

- \bullet Streaming code is a packet-level FEC that can correct from all AEP of DCSW channel within a decoding delay constraint τ .
- **It turns out that WOLOG, we can assume** $w = \tau + 1$ **.**
- The rate R of an $\{a, b, \tau\}$ streaming code has the upper bound:

$$
R \leq \frac{(\tau+1)-a}{(\tau+1)+b-a} \triangleq R_{\text{opt}}.
$$

• Rate is 0 if $\tau < b$. Non-trivial only when $a \leq b \leq \tau$.

- **Badr et al., "Layered Constructions for Low-Delay Streaming Codes." Trans. IT, 2017.**
- M. Vajha, V. Ramkumar, M. Jhamtani and P. V. Kumar, "On the Performance Analysis of Streaming Codes over the Gilbert-Elliott Channel," ITW 2021

Settings Discussed in This Talk

S1: Single link, burst erasures only

S2: Single link, burst or random erasures

S3: 3-node relay, burst erasures only, delay constraint τ

Redundancy through Packet Expansion Framework

• Can use scalar block codes to come up with streaming codes.

Diagonal Embedding (DE)

- \bullet Codewords of $[n, k]$ scalar block code are diagonally placed in the packet stream.
- \bullet This approach needs $n - k > b$

DE of [12, 6] scalar code where $a = 4$, $b = 6$, $\tau = 9$

Scalar Code Properties

• For a given $\{a, b, \tau\}$ let

$$
n = \tau + 1 + \delta, k = n - b \text{ where } \delta = b - a
$$

 \bullet Let $E \subset [\delta : \tau + \delta]$ be either the set of a random erasures or a set of consecutive b erasures. To recover $\{c_i | i \in E\}$:

 $\delta = b - 1$ when $a = 1$ for the burst only case.

S1: Single Link, Burst Erasures

•
$$
R_{\text{opt}} = \frac{\tau}{\tau + b}
$$
 (by setting $a = 1$ in R_{opt})

 \bullet Use (τ , τ − b) wrap-around burst correcting code (WA-BCC) to construct $(a = 1, b, \tau)$ streaming code with $(n = \tau + b, k = n - b)$.

$$
H_{WB} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} P & I_b \\ \left(b \times \tau - b \right) & \end{array} \right]
$$

 $(n = \tau + b, k = n - b)$ code with pc matrix H is an $(a = 1, b, \tau)$ streaming code

$$
H_{WBS} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} I_b & P & I_b \end{array} \right]
$$

- \blacktriangleright Clearly burst erasure correcting code
- \triangleright What about worst case delay ?
- \rightarrow i \in [0 : b 1], m_i requires delay τ , (until *i*-th parity available)
- \blacktriangleright m_0 recovery: use 0-th pc
- \triangleright m_i recovery $i \in [1:b-1]$: need a pc equation with 0's in locations

S1: Single Link, Burst Erasures

•
$$
R_{\text{opt}} = \frac{\tau}{\tau + b}
$$
 (by setting $a = 1$ in R_{opt})

 \bullet Use ($τ, τ − b$) wrap-around burst correcting code (WA-BCC) to construct $(a = 1, b, \tau)$ streaming code with $(n = \tau + b, k = n - b)$.

$$
H_{WB} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} P & I_b \\ \left(b \times \tau - b \right) & \end{array} \right]
$$

• $(n = \tau + b, k = n - b)$ code with pc matrix H is an $(a = 1, b, \tau)$ streaming code

$$
H_{WBS} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} I_b & P & I_b \end{array} \right]
$$

- \blacktriangleright Clearly burst erasure correcting code
- \triangleright What about worst case delay ?
- \triangleright i \in [0 : b 1], m_i requires delay τ , (until *i*-th parity available)
- \blacktriangleright m_0 recovery: use 0-th pc
- \triangleright m_i recovery $i \in [1 : b 1]$: need a pc equation with 0's in locations $[i + 1 : i + b - 1]$, $[\tau + i + 1 : n - 1]$.

E. Martinian and M. Trott, "Delay-Optimal Burst Erasure Code Construction," ISIT 2007

S1: Single Link, Burst Erasures

 m_i recovery

$$
H_{WBS} = [P I_b], H_{WBS} = [I_b P I_b]
$$

$$
H_{WBS}([0:i],:) = [I_{i+1} \bigcup_{(i+1)\times (b-i-1)} P([0:i],:) I_{i+1} \bigcup_{(i+1)\times (b-i-1)}]
$$

\n- \n
$$
\bullet
$$
 "top-left" $(i \times i)$ sub-matrix of P (say P_i) is invertible for any $i \in [\min\{b, n - b\} - 1]$ \n
\n- \n $\exists v \in \mathbb{F}_2^i$ such that $[v^T \ 1]P([0 : i], [0 : i - 1]) = 0$.\n $\left[v^T \ 1\right] H_{\text{WBS}}([0 : i], :)= \left[v^T \ 1 \ \underset{b-1}{\underbrace{0}} X \ v^T \ 1 \ \underset{b-i-1}{\underbrace{0}}\right]$ \n
\n

- if $n b < b$, any $n b$ "consecutive-rows" of P are l.i. Can show m_i recoverable in delay for $i \in [n-b:b-1]$
- Hollmann and Toulhuizen (HT) code is a binary WA-BCC.

E. Martinian and M. Trott, "Delay-Optimal Burst Erasure Code Construction," ISIT 2007

WA-BCC: Hollmann and Toulhuizen (HT) Code

• Recursive construction of $(u \times v)$ matrix $P_{u,v}$ that appends identity matrices column-wise or row-wise.

$$
P_{u,v} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} I_u & P_{u,v-u} \end{bmatrix} & v > u \\ \begin{bmatrix} I_v & \end{bmatrix} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

- \bullet Let $H_{WB} = [P I_b]$ be the pc matrix of $(n, n b)$ WA-BCC. P is an $(b \times n b)$
	- \blacktriangleright clear that $H^{\text{col}}_{\text{WB}} = [I_b \; P \; I_b]$ is pc matrix of $(n + b, n)$ WA-BCC.
	- \triangleright Can show that row extension also retains the WA-BCC (using the fact that

$$
H_{WB}^{\text{row}} = \left[I_n \left| \frac{I_{n-b}}{P} \right] \right]
$$

WA-BCC: Hollmann and Toulhuizen (HT) Code

• Recursive construction of $(u \times v)$ matrix $P_{u,v}$ that appends identity matrices column-wise or row-wise.

$$
P_{u,v} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} I_u & P_{u,v-u} \end{bmatrix} & v > u \\ \begin{bmatrix} I_v & \end{bmatrix} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

- \bullet Let $H_{WB} = [P I_b]$ be the pc matrix of $(n, n b)$ WA-BCC. P is an $(b \times n b)$ matrix.
	- \blacktriangleright clear that $H^{\text{col}}_{WB} = [I_b \; P \; I_b]$ is pc matrix of $(n + b, n)$ WA-BCC.
	- \triangleright Can show that row extension also retains the WA-BCC (using the fact that dual of WA-BCC is also WA-BCC)

$$
H_{WB}^{\text{row}} = \left[I_n \left| \frac{I_{n-b}}{P} \right] \right]
$$

H. D. L. Hollmann and L. M. G. M. Tolhuizen, "Optimal Codes for Correcting a Single (Wrap-Around) Burst of Erasures," in TIT

S2: Single Link, Random and Burst Erasures JigSaw code

2: Single Link, Random and Burst Erasures
\n_{gSaw code}
\n•
$$
(a=3, b=6, \tau = 8)
$$
 streaming code defined by (6×12) parity check matrix
\n0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11
\n0 0 0 0 0 0 $v_0 = -\frac{1}{v_{0.6}} v_{0.7} - \frac{1}{v_{0.8}} v_{0.9}$
\n1 0 0 0 0 0 0 $v_0 = -\frac{1}{v_{0.6}} v_{0.7} - \frac{1}{v_{0.8}} v_{1.9}$
\n2 0 0 0 0 0 0 $v_0 = -\frac{1}{v_{0.8}} v_{1.9} - \frac{1}{v_{0.8}} v_{1.9}$
\n3 1 0 0 $v_0 = -\frac{1}{v_{0.8}} - \frac{1}{v_{0.8}} - \frac{1}{v_{0$

JigSaw is rate-optimal streaming code for any (a,b,τ) with field size of q^2 where $q\geq \tau.$ $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_q$

M. Nikhil Krishnan, Deeptanshu Shukla and P. Vijay Kumar, "Rate-Optimal Streaming Codes for Channels With Burst and Random Erasures", IEEE Trans. Info. Theory, 2020

 \bullet Last $a = 3$ rows of the pc matrix unchanged. Random erasure recovery follows from the structure of JigSaw.

O Support of the first $\delta = b - a = 3$ rows changed construction. Matrix P has 0, 1 elements.

M. Vajha, V. Ramkumar, M. N. Krishnan and P. Vijay Kumar, "Explicit Rate-Optimal Streaming Codes With Smaller Field Size," in IEEE ISIT 2021, Trans. Info. Theory, 2024

The definition of $(u \times v)$ matrix $P^a_{u,v}$ is recursive.

$$
\mathbf{P}_{u,v}^{a} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} I_u & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{P}_{u,v-u-a}^{a} \\ & (u \times a) \end{bmatrix} & u + a < v \\ \begin{bmatrix} I_u & \mathbf{0} \\ & (u \times (v-u)) \end{bmatrix} & u \leq v \leq u + a \\ & & & \\ \begin{bmatrix} I_v & \mathbf{P}_{u-v,v}^{a} \\ & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} & v < u \end{cases}
$$

- This structure of the P matrix results in two properties on $\hat{P} = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 0 \end{array} \right] P$ $\delta \times a$
	- **E** consecutive-columns: any b consecutive columns of \hat{P} have a-zero columns and δ linearly independent columns.
	- \triangleright bottom-right: bottom right sub-matrix of \hat{P} of size $\theta \times (\theta + a)$, there are a-zero columns and θ linearly independent columns.
	- \triangleright top-left, consecutive-rows properties hold for P.

$$
P_{3,2}^3 = \left[\begin{array}{c} h_2 \\ P_{1,2}^3 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{array} \right].
$$

 \bullet top-left, consecutive rows properties can be used to show urgent symbol recovery from burst.

bottom-right, consecutive columns properties used to show burst recovery of non-urgent \bullet symbols

Rate Bound

An example, permissible erasure pattern in the R-D link

- m(t) should be recovered at relay by $t + \tau b$
- Rate in the S-R link upper bounded by rate of $(a = 1, b, \tau b)$ DCSW channel.
- \bullet (b, τ) streaming code for S3 satisfies

$$
R \le \begin{cases} \frac{\tau - b}{\tau} & \tau \ge 2b \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

Generalized HT based streaming code achieves rate arbitrarily close to the upper bound.

V. Ramkumar, M. Vajha, M. N. Krishnan, "Streaming Codes for Three-Node Relay Networks With Burst Erasures", to appear in

S3: 3 Node Relay, Burst Erasures S-R link

- $(n = \tau, k = \tau b)$ HT code is used at the source.
- **Example:** $\tau = 10, b = 4, (4 \times 10)$ pc matrix

$$
H_{HT} = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c|c|c} l_4 & P_{4,2} & l_4 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} P_{4,6} & I_4 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c|c} l_4 & l_2 & l_4 \end{array} \right]
$$

$$
p_0 = m_0 + m_4, p_1 = m_1 + m_5, p_2 = m_2 + m_4, p_3 = m_3 + m_5
$$

• Transmit at Source

● Receive at Relay: Burst starting at time 0/1 in S-R link

R-D Link

• Information needs to be preserved.

- **Can resolve** m_4 **from** $p_0 = m_0 + m_4$ **, and** m_0
- \triangleright $\alpha = \min\{\beta, b-1\}$ where β is burst start and set $(\hat{m}_0, \dots, \hat{m}_{k-1})$ as

$$
(\underbrace{m_0,\cdots,m_{\alpha-1}}_{\alpha \text{ urgent symbols}},\underbrace{x^s(\alpha),\cdots,x^s(k-b+\alpha-1)}_{(k-\alpha) \text{ non- urgent symbols}},\underbrace{m_{\alpha},\cdots,m_{b-1}}_{(b-\alpha) \text{ urgent symbols}})
$$

- \triangleright HT code guarantees the information preservation property
- **IF** GHT code parameterized by α . Slight overhead to communicate α to destination
- **O** Urgent messages:
	- For $i \in [0 : \alpha 1]$ \hat{m}_i requires delay $\leq \tau b$ (until \hat{p}_i available)
	- For $i \in [0 : b \alpha 1]$ \hat{m}_{k-1-i} requires delay $\leq b$ (until \hat{p}_{b-1-i} is available)

GHT construction

• Retain row 0, ensures in time recovery of \hat{m}_0

GHT Construction

• Structured Bottom-Right Property: Permute rows of parity check matrix to get 1 in the diagonal of bottom-right $(b - \alpha) \times (b - \alpha)$ sub-matrix

S3: 3 Node Relay, Burst Erasures GHT Construction

• Set the upper triangular elements to 0

GHT Construction

- \hat{m}_3 , \hat{m}_5 can be recovered in delay
- \hat{m}_1 can be recovered from any burst \bullet

GHT Construction

- \hat{m}_4 recovery: if \hat{m}_2 is available can recover it using \hat{p}_2 otherwise from \hat{p}_0 .
- \hat{p}_0 and \hat{m}_2 are spaced by b by triangle property \bullet
- \hat{m}_2 recovery follows. \bullet
- Can recover m_i within delay. \bullet

Key Ideas in General Proof

Future Directions

• Construction of codes for any ordering of the urgent symbols (m_0, \dots, m_{b-1}) that are "allowed".

> $(m_0, \ast, \ast, m_1, m_2, m_3, p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3) \quad \sqrt{$ $(m_1, *, *, m_0, m_2, m_3, p_0, p_1, p_2, p_3)$ **X**

- leads to streaming codes for m -node relay settings
- 3-node relay settings with different size bursts b_1, b_2 .
- Characterize the delay-profiles for which constructions are possible

Thank You!